arXiv:1612.08955v1 [math.AP] 28 Dec 2016

A SHAPE-DERIVATIVE APPROACH TO SOME PDE MODEL IN IMAGE RESTORATION

CARLA BARONCINI AND JULIÁN FERNÁNDEZ BONDER

Abstract. In this paper we analyze the shape derivative of a cost functional appearing in image restoration.

1. Introduction

In this paper we deal with the problem of obtaining an image which is modeled by a function $u\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\Omega = (0,1)\times(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, given a distorted image $I\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. We assume that the introduced error, $e = u - I$, is small and the objective is to recover u from I without making any further assumptions on the error e. We will focus on two EDPs based methods. The first one was introduced by Chambolle and Lions in [2] in 1997. In their paper, the image is found by minimizing the functional

$$\min \frac{1}{2\beta}\left(\int_{\{|\nabla v|\le\beta\}} |\nabla v|^2\,dx + \int_{\{|\nabla v|>\beta\}} |\nabla v|\,dx\right) + \frac{\beta}{2}\int_\Omega (v-I)^2\,dx,$$

where $\beta > 0$ is a parameter that needs to be adjusted by the operator of the method for each image. The idea behind this method is that the real image must be smooth in regions where there are no boundaries (which are interpreted as regions where the derivatives are not big) and, in the ones which contains boundaries, the solution must admit discontinuities. This method can be re-written as follows

$$\min \frac{1}{2\beta}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^{p(|\nabla v|)}\,dx + \frac{\beta}{2}\int_\Omega (v-I)^2\,dx,$$

where the exponent p is defined as

$$p(t) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } t \le \beta \\ 1 & \text{if } t > \beta. \end{cases}$$

This method is extremely difficult to study rigorously since the space where the functional is defined is not a good functional space. That is why, in 2006, Chen, Levine and Rao introduced in [3] a modification by which the exponent p is computed from I but it is fixed. In this second model,

$$p(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + k|\nabla G_\sigma * I|^2},$$

where $G_\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma}\exp(-|x|^2/4\sigma^2)$ is the Gaussian filter, with $k, \sigma > 0$ parameters. Therefore, $p \sim 1$ where I is discontinuous and $p \sim 2$ where I is smooth.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 49Q10,49J45.

Key words and phrases. Shape optimization, sensitivity analysis, nonstandard growth.

Then, the problem to be minimized is

$$\min \frac{1}{2\beta}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^{p(x)}\,dx + \frac{\beta}{2}\int_\Omega (v-I)^2\,dx.$$

By considering a fixed regular exponent, the authors can use the Sobolev and Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent, thoroughly studied since the sixties. See [6].

In our paper, we present a method that approximates the one created by Chambolle and Lions preserving the good functional properties given by the one presented by Chen, Levine and Rao. We start by dividing the region Ω into two sub regions D_1 and D_2 such that for $i = 1, 2$,

$$D_i \subset \Omega \text{ is open, } \overset{\circ}{\overline{D_i}} = D_i,\ D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset, \text{ and } \overline{\Omega} = \overline{D_1} \cup \overline{D_2}. \tag{1.1}$$

By this division, we make sure that D_1 contains the regions with boundaries of the image and D_2 its complement. One way of creating this division is the following:

$$D_1 = \{x \in \Omega\colon |\nabla G_\sigma * I| > \beta\}, \quad D_2 = \{x \in \Omega\colon |\nabla G_\sigma * I| < \beta\}.$$

We define an exponent $p\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} 1+\epsilon & \text{if } x \in D_1 \\ 2 & \text{if } x \in D_2. \end{cases}$$

Then we compute u by minimizing the functional

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{2\beta}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^{p(x)}\,dx + \frac{\beta}{2}\int_\Omega (v-I)^2\,dx.$$

In order to improve the image found, we then apply an iterative *steepest descent type method*.

So, to compute the gradient of $J(u)$ with respect to D_i, we consider the shape derivative (or Hadamard derivative), which we describe now. Given $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ a Lipschitz deformation field, the associated flow $\{\Phi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is defined by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t(x) = V(\Phi_t(x)), & t \in \mathbb{R},\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ \Phi_0(x) = x & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Let us observe that $\Phi_t\colon \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a group of diffeomorfisms. That is, $\Phi_t \circ \Phi_s = \Phi_{t+s}$ and $\Phi_t^{-1} = \Phi_{-t}$.

We will assume that $\operatorname{spt}(V) \subset \Omega$, so that $\Phi_t(\Omega) = \Omega$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, the regions D_i are deformed by Φ_t and we obtain a family of partitions $D_i^t = \Phi_t(D_i), \quad i = 1, 2$ that verify (1.1) and we define

$$p_t(x) = \begin{cases} 1+\epsilon & \text{si } x \in D_1^t \\ 2 & \text{si } x \in D_2^t. \end{cases}$$

Observe that $p_t = p \circ \Phi_{-t}$.

Then, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the following functional

$$J_t(v) = \frac{1}{2\beta}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^{p_t(x)}\,dx + \frac{\beta}{2}\int_\Omega (v-I)^2\,dx,$$

Let u_t be the minimizer of J_t. We can consider the function $j\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $j(t) = J_t(u_t)$.

The shape derivative consists then in computing $j'(0)$.

Then, by finding a good expression for such derivative, we will be able to compute the deformations field V which makes it as negative as possible and so choose the optimal deformation field to then iterate

$$D_i^{\Delta t} \simeq (id + \Delta t V)(D_i).$$

2. Preliminaries

Because of the nature of our problem, which deals with piecewise constant exponents, we are unable to assume any regularity on the variable exponent p. Therefore, since most of the known results for variable exponent Sobolev spaces assume that the exponent is at least log-Hölder continuous, we need to review the results that are needed here and prove the missing parts in the case of piecewise constant exponents.

2.1. **Definitions and well-known results.** Given $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ a bounded open set, we consider the class of exponents $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ given by

$$\mathcal{P}(\Omega) := \{p\colon \Omega \to [1,\infty)\colon p \text{ is measurable and bounded}\}.$$

The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) := \Big\{ f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)\colon \rho_{p(x)}(f) < \infty \Big\},$$

where the modular $\rho_{p(x)}$ is given by

$$\rho_{p(x)}(f) := \int_\Omega |f|^{p(x)}\, dx.$$

This space is endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} = \|f\|_{p(x),\Omega} = \|f\|_{p(x)} := \sup\Big\{ \lambda > 0\colon \rho_{p(x)}(\tfrac{f}{\lambda}) < 1 \Big\}.$$

The infimum and the supremum of the exponent p play an important role in the estimates as the next elementary proposition shows. For further references, the following notation will be imposed

$$1 \le p_- := \inf_\Omega p \le \sup_\Omega p =: p_+ < \infty.$$

The proof of the following proposition can be found in [7, Theorem 1.3, p.p. 427].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, then*

$$\min\{\|f\|_{p(x)}^{p_-}, \|f\|_{p(x)}^{p_+}\} \le \rho_{p(x)}(f) \le \max\{\|f\|_{p(x)}^{p_-}, \|f\|_{p(x)}^{p_+}\}.$$

Remark 2.2. Proposition 2.1, is equivalent to

$$\min\{\rho_{p(x)}(f)^{\frac{1}{p_-}}, \rho_{p(x)}(f)^{\frac{1}{p_+}}\} \le \|f\|_{p(x)} \le \max\{\rho_{p(x)}(f)^{\frac{1}{p_-}}, \rho_{p(x)}(f)^{\frac{1}{p_+}}\}.$$

We will use the following form of Hölder's inequality for variable exponents. The proof, which is an easy consequence of Young's inequality, can be found in [6, Lemma 3.2.20].

Proposition 2.3 (Hölder's inequality)**.** *Assume $p_- > 1$. Let $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, then*

$$\int_\Omega |uv|\, dx \le 2\|u\|_{p(x)}\|v\|_{p'(x)},$$

where $p'(x)$ is, as usual, the conjugate exponent, i.e. $p'(x) := p(x)/(p(x)-1)$.

The variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1,p(x)}$ is defined by

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) := \Big\{ u \in W^{1,1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega) \colon u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \text{ and } \partial_i u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\ i = 1, \dots, N \Big\},$$

where $\partial_i u$ stands fot the $i-$th partial weak derivative of u.

This space posses a natural modular given by

$$\rho_{1,p(x)}(u) := \int_\Omega |u|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u|^{p(x)}\, dx,$$

so $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ if and only if $\rho_{1,p(x)}(u) < \infty$.

The corresponding Luxemburg norm associated to this modular is

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{1,p(x),\Omega} = \|u\|_{1,p(x)} := \sup \Big\{ \lambda > 0 \colon \rho_{1,p(x)}(\tfrac{u}{\lambda}) < 1 \Big\}.$$

Observe that this norm turns out to be equivalent to $\|u\| := \|u\|_{p(x)} + \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}$.

Now we state and prove a simple proposition that characterizes the Sobolev space when the variable exponent is piecewise constant.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be open and let $D_1, D_2 \subset \Omega$ be a partition verifying* (1.1). *Let $1 \le p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and let $p \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ be such that $p = p_1 \chi_{D_1} + p_2 \chi_{D_2}$.*

Then, $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \Leftrightarrow u \in W^{1,p_1}(D_1),\ u \in W^{1,p_2}(D_2)$ and $u \in W^{1,\min\{p_1,p_2\}}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Observe that (1.1) implies that $|\Omega \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)| = 0$. Then

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{p(x)}\, dx = \int_{D_1} |u|^{p_1}\, dx + \int_{D_2} |u|^{p_2}\, dx.$$

and

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p(x)}\, dx = \int_{D_1} |\nabla u|^{p_1}\, dx + \int_{D_2} |\nabla u|^{p_2}\, dx.$$

Moreover, assume that $p_1 < p_2$ and by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p_1}\, dx &= \int_{D_1} |\nabla u|^{p_1}\, dx + \int_{D_2} |\nabla u|^{p_1}\, dx \\ &\le \int_{D_1} |\nabla u|^{p_1}\, dx + |D_2|^{\frac{p_2 - p_1}{p_2}} \left(\int_{D_2} |\nabla u|^{p_2}\, dx \right)^{\frac{p_1}{p_2}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, $u \in L^{p_1}(\Omega)$.

For the converse, we just observe that since $u \in W^{1,\min\{p_1,p_2\}}(\Omega)$, then ∇u is defined in the whole of Ω. Then is easy to see that $\nabla u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ by the same arguments as before. □

3. Differentiability.

Next we describe the type of (regular) perturbations on the domain that we are to consider.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a smooth bounded domain and $V \colon \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be a Lipschitz field such that $\mathrm{spt}(V) \subset\subset \Omega$.

Now, we consider the flow associated to the field V, $\{\Phi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$. That is, $\Phi_t\colon \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$, satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t(x) = V(\Phi_t(x)), & t\in\mathbb{R},\ x\in\mathbb{R}^N \\ \Phi_0(x) = x & x\in\mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

It is not difficult to see that Φ_t is a diffeomorphism with inverse given by Φ_{-t}.

Remark 3.1. In [9], the following asymptotic formulas were proved

$$\Phi_t(x) = x + tV(x) + o(t),$$

$$D\Phi_t(x) = Id + tDV(x) + o(t) = Id + O(t),$$

$$J\Phi_t(x) = 1 + t\,\mathrm{div}\,V(x) + o(t) = 1 + O(t),$$

for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$, where $J\Phi_t$ is the Jacobian of Φ_t.

Given $1 < p_1 < p_2 < \infty$, we consider now the class of exponents $p\in\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ defined as

$$\mathcal{P}^0_{p_1,p_2}(\Omega) := \{p\in\mathcal{P}(\Omega)\colon p = p_1\chi_{D_1} + p_2\chi_{D_2},\ \text{and } D_1, D_2 \text{ verify (1.1)}\}.$$

The following proposition shows that Φ_t induces a bounded linear isomorphism, which we will denote $\mathcal{F}_t$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\Phi\colon\Omega_1\to\Omega_2$ be a diffeomorphism and $p\in\mathcal{P}(\Omega_1)$ be a bounded exponent. Then, Φ induces a bounded linear isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{F}\colon W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)\to W^{1,q}(\Omega_2),$$

where $q\colon\Omega_2\to[1,+\infty)$ is given by $q(x) := p(\Phi^{-1}(x))$, by the expression

$$\mathcal{F}(u) := u\circ\Phi^{-1}.$$

Proof. We first observe that $\mathcal{F}$ is clearly a linear isomorphism with inverse given by

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\colon W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)\to W^{1,p}(\Omega_1), \qquad \mathcal{F}^{-1}(v) := v\circ\Phi.$$

Let us now see that it is also bounded.

Let us consider $\lambda > 0$ and, for simplicity, let us denote $v = \mathcal{F}(u)$. Then, by changing variables $y = \Phi^{-1}(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2}\left|\frac{v(x)}{\lambda}\right|^{q(x)}dx &= \int_{\Omega_2}\left|\frac{u(\Phi^{-1}(x))}{\lambda}\right|^{p(\Phi^{-1}(x))}dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1}\left|\frac{u(y)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(y)}J\Phi(y)\,dy \\ &\le \|J\Phi\|_\infty\int_{\Omega_1}\left|\frac{u(y)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(y)}dy \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that, if $C := \|J\Phi\|_\infty \le 1$, clearly we have

$$\|u\|_{p,\Omega_1} = \inf\{\lambda>0\colon \int_{\Omega_1}\left|\frac{u(y)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(y)}dy\le 1\} \ge \inf\{\lambda>0\colon \int_{\Omega_2}\left|\frac{v(y)}{\lambda}\right|^{q(y)}dy\le 1\} = \|v\|_{q,\Omega_2}$$

Let us now assume that $C > 1$. Then, since

$$\left\{\lambda > 0\colon \int_{\Omega_1} \Big|\frac{C^{\frac{1}{p_-}} u(y)}{\lambda}\Big|^{p(y)} dy \le 1\right\} \subset \left\{\lambda > 0\colon \int_{\Omega_1} \Big|\frac{u(y)}{\lambda}\Big|^{p(y)} dy \le \frac{1}{C}\right\}$$
$$\subset \left\{\lambda > 0\colon \int_{\Omega_2} \Big|\frac{v(x)}{\lambda}\Big|^{q(x)} dx \le 1\right\},$$

taking infimum, we conclude that

$$C^{\frac{1}{p_-}} \|u\|_{p,\Omega_1} = \|C^{\frac{1}{p_-}} u\|_{p,\Omega_1} \ge \inf\{\lambda > 0\colon \int_{\Omega_1} \Big|\frac{u(y)}{\lambda}\Big|^{p(y)} dy \le \frac{1}{C}\} \ge \|v\|_{q,\Omega_2} = \|\mathcal{F}(u)\|_{q,\Omega_2}.$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_2} \Big|\frac{\nabla v(x)}{\lambda}\Big|^{q(x)} dx &= \int_{\Omega_2} \Big|\frac{\nabla (u\circ\Phi^{-1})(x)}{\lambda}\Big|^{q(x)} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega_2} \Big|\frac{\nabla u(\Phi^{-1}(x)) D\Phi^{-1}(x)}{\lambda}\Big|^{p(\Phi^{-1}(x))} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega_1} \Big|\frac{\nabla u(y) D\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(y))}{\lambda}\Big|^{p(y)} J\Phi(y)\, dy \\
&\le \max\{1, \|D\Phi^{-1}\|_\infty\}^{p_+} \|J\Phi\|_\infty \int_{\Omega_1} \Big|\frac{\nabla u(y)}{\lambda}\Big|^{p(y)} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|\nabla \mathcal{F}(u)\|_{q,\Omega_2} \le C\|\nabla u\|_{p,\Omega_1}$, which completes the proof. □

Remark 3.3. In the previous proof, given $A\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$, we considered the norm $\|A\|_\infty := \sup_{x\in\Omega}\|A(x)\|$ and, given $B \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$, we considered the norm $\|B\| := \sup_{\xi\neq 0} \frac{|B\xi|}{|\xi|}$.

Observe that, since $\operatorname{spt}(V) \subset\subset \Omega$, it follows that $\Phi_t(\Omega) = \Omega$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and that if $p \in \mathcal{P}^0_{p_1,p_2}(\Omega)$, then $p \circ \Phi_{-t} \in \mathcal{P}^0_{p_1,p_2}(\Omega)$. In fact, $p_t = p_1\chi_{D_1^t} + p_2\chi_{D_2^t}$, where $D_i^t = \Phi_t(D_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{F}_t\colon W^{1,p}(\Omega) \to W^{1,p_t}(\Omega)$$

is a bounded linear isomorphism.

Let us consider the space $X_t := W^{1,p_t}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_{X_t} := \|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p_t}(\Omega)} + \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

and the space $X := W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_X := \|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} + \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_t\colon X \to X_t$ is still a bounded linear isomorphism.

Given $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, we define the quantity

$$\tilde{s}(t) := \inf_{v\in X_t} \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla v|^{p_t}}{p_t}\, dx + \int_\Omega \frac{|v - f|^2}{2}\, dx$$

which is clearly equivalent to

$$s(t) := \inf_{v\in X_t} \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla v|^{p_t}}{p_t}\,dx + \int_\Omega \frac{|v|^2}{2}\,dx - \int_\Omega vf\,dx. \tag{3.2}$$

In fact, $\tilde{s}(t) = s(t) + \|f\|_2^2$.

Observe that, since $\mathcal{F}_t$ is an isomorphism, one actually has

$$s(t) = \inf_{u\in X} \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla(u\circ\Phi_{-t})|^{p_t}}{p_t}\,dx + \int_\Omega \frac{|u\circ\Phi_{-t}|^2}{2} - \int_\Omega (u\circ\Phi_{-t})f\,dx.$$

Our aim is to find an expression for $\frac{ds}{dt}(0)$ in order to be able to apply the *steepest descent method*.

Remark 3.4. Let us observe that, by changing variables $y = \Phi_{-t}(x)$,

$$s(t) = \inf_{u\in X} \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u D\Phi_{-t}\circ\Phi_t|^p}{p} J\Phi_t\,dy + \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{2} J\Phi_t\,dy - \int_\Omega uf\circ\Phi_t J\Phi_t\,dy.$$

Let us call

$$\mathcal{J}_t u := \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u D\Phi_{-t}\circ\Phi_t|^p}{p} J\Phi_t\,dy + \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{2} J\Phi_t\,dy - \int_\Omega uf\circ\Phi_t J\Phi_t\,dy$$

and

$$\mathcal{J} u := \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{p}\,dy + \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{2}\,dy - \int_\Omega uf\,dy.$$

Lemma 3.5. *The functionals $\mathcal{J}_t$ are equicoercive.*

Proof. We need to prove that there is a weakly compact set K such that, for evert t small enough, $\{u\in X\colon 0\le J_t u<\lambda\}\subset K$.

In fact, we can assume $\frac12 \le J\Phi_t \le 2$, and therefore,

$$\mathcal{J}_t u \ge \frac12 \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u D\Phi_{-t}\circ\Phi_t|^p}{p}\,dy + \frac12\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{2}\,dy - 2\int_\Omega |f||u|\,dy. \tag{3.3}$$

By Young inequality with $\epsilon = \frac18$,

$$2\int_\Omega |f||u|\,dy \le \frac18 \int_\Omega |u|^2\,dy + 8\int_\Omega |f|^2\,dy. \tag{3.4}$$

As $D\Phi_{-t}\rightrightarrows Id$ uniformly on Ω, it follows that for t small enough, since $1<p\le 2$ we have that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u D\Phi_{-t}\circ\Phi_t|^p}{p}\,dy \ge \frac12\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p\,dy \tag{3.5}$$

So, combining (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$\mathcal{J}_t u \ge \frac18\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p\,dy + \int_\Omega |u|^2\,dy\right) - 8\|f\|_2^2.$$

By Proposition 2.2 and assuming $\|u\|_X > 1$ (if not, there is nothing to prove),

$$\mathcal{J}_t u \ge \frac18\left(\|\nabla u\|_p^{p_1} + \|u\|_2^2\right) - 8\|f\|_2^2 \ge \|u\|_X^\alpha - C$$

for some constants α and C. Therefore, $K := \{\|u\|_X < R\}$, with R large depending only on λ, satisfies our requirements. This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

The next lemma is stated for future reference, its proof is standard.

Lemma 3.6. *There exists a unique extremal for $s(t)$ and $s(0)$.*

Proof. The proof is an immediate consequence of the fact that both $\mathcal{J}_t$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are strictly convex and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous on $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. □

Our first result shows that $s(t)$ is continuous with respect to t at $t = 0$.

Theorem 3.7. *With the previous notation,*

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} s(t) = s(0). \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, if u_t and u are the extremals associated to $s(t)$ and $s(0)$ respectively, then $u_t \hookrightarrow u$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Finally, if $p^* > 2$ then $u_t \to u$ strongly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Remark 3.8. The hypothesis $p^* > 2$ is needed in order to secure the compact embedding $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$ for any dimension N.

For the case $N = 2$, one has $p^* > 2$ for any $p > 1$ so no extra hypothesis is needed.

Proof. Since, by Lemma 3.5, we know that the functionals $\mathcal{J}_t$ are equicoercive, the proof of (3.6) will follow from Proposition A.1 if we show that $\mathcal{J}_t \rightrightarrows \mathcal{J}$ uniformly on bounded sets of X. Observe that since the minimizers are unique, we will then have that the whole sequence of minimizers is weakly convergent.

Let us consider now $B \subset X$ a bounded subset and $u \in B$. By Remark 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_t u &= \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u(Id + O(t))|^p}{p}(1 + O(t))\,dy + \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{2}(1 + O(t))\,dy - \int_\Omega u(f \circ \Phi_t)(1 + O(t))\,dy \\ &= (1 + O(t))\Big\{ \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u + O(t)|^p}{p}\,dy + \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{2}\,dy - \int_\Omega u(f \circ \Phi_t)\,dy\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Again by Remark 3.1, and by Taylor expansion formula, we get

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u + O(t)|^p}{p}\,dy = \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{p}\,dy + O(t),$$

uniformly in B.

Assume for a moment that f is a continuous function with compact support. Then, since $\Phi_t \to id$ uniformly as $t \to 0$, we have that $f \circ \Phi_t \to f$ uniformly as $t \to 0$ and therefore,

$$\|f \circ \Phi_t - f\|_2^2 = \int_\Omega |f \circ \Phi_t - f|^2\,dx \le \|f \circ \Phi_t - f\|_\infty^2 |\Omega| \to 0,\ (t \to 0).$$

And so we have that $\|f \circ \Phi_t - f\|_2 \to 0$, $(t \to 0)$.

Now, by a standard density argument, it is easy to see that the same result holds for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Then, by Hölder inequality and since $u \in B$, there is a constant C, independent of u, such that

$$\Big| \int_\Omega u(f \circ \Phi_t - f)\Big| \le C\|f \circ \Phi_t - f\|_2 \to 0$$

as $t \to 0^+$.

Assume now that $p^* > 2$. It remains to see the strong convergence of u_t to u in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Let us observe that in order to see the strong convergence it is enough to show the convergence of the modulars (see [6]).

Let us now recall that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_t|^p}{p}\,dy + \int_\Omega \frac{|u_t|^2}{2}\,dy = s(t) + \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_t|^p}{p}\,dy - \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_t D\Phi_{-t}\circ\Phi_t|^p}{p} J\Phi_t\,dy + \int_\Omega \frac{|u_t|^2}{2}(1-J\Phi_t)\,dy + \int_\Omega u_t(f\circ\Phi_t)J\Phi_t\,dy.$$

By Remark 3.1,

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_t D\Phi_{-t}\circ\Phi_t|^p}{p} J\Phi_t\,dy = \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_t - t\nabla u_t DV + o(t)|^p}{p}(1+t\operatorname{div} V + o(t))\,dy.$$

Using the following Taylor expansion,

$$|\nabla u_t - t\nabla u_t DV + o(t)|^p = |\nabla u_t|^p - pt|\nabla u_t|^{p-2}\nabla u_t\cdot\nabla u_t DV + o(t),$$

we find that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_t D\Phi_{-t}\circ\Phi_t|^p}{p} J\Phi_t\,dy = \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_t|^p + t(|\nabla u_t|^p \operatorname{div} V - p|\nabla u_t|^{p-2}\nabla u_t\cdot\nabla u_t DV)}{p}\,dy + o(t).$$

And so we have

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_t|^p}{p}\,dy - \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_t D\Phi_{-t}\circ\Phi_t|^p}{p} J\Phi_t\,dy = -\int_\Omega \frac{t(|\nabla u_t|^p \operatorname{div} V - p|\nabla u_t|^{p-2}\nabla u_t\cdot\nabla u_t DV)}{p}\,dy + o(t)$$

Now, for our fourth term, we only need to observe that $\frac{|u_t|^2}{2}$ is bounded and $1 - J\Phi_t \to 0$ uniformly.

Then, since $s(t) \to s(0)$ and

$$\int_\Omega u_t(f\circ\Phi_t)J\Phi_t\,dy \to \int_\Omega uf,$$

we can conclude that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_t|^p}{p}\,dy + \int_\Omega \frac{|u_t|^2}{2}\,dy \to \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{p}\,dy + \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{2}\,dy,$$

which completes the proof. □

Now we prove the main result of the paper, namely the differentiability of the cost functional $s(t)$.

Theorem 3.9. *$s(t)$ is differentiable at $t = 0$ and*

$$\frac{ds}{dt}(0) = R(u) - \int_\Omega uf \operatorname{div} V\,dy - \int_\Omega u\nabla f\cdot V\,dy,$$

where

$$R(u) := \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{p}\operatorname{div} V - |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\cdot\nabla u DV + \operatorname{div} V\frac{|u|^2}{2}\,dy$$

and u is an extremal of $s(0)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, we can consider u the extremal of $s(0)$. Then, by Remark 3.4,

$$s(t) = \inf_X \mathcal{J}_t \leq \mathcal{J}_t(u) = \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u D\Phi_{-t} \circ \Phi_t|^p}{p} J\Phi_t \, dy + \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{2} J\Phi_t \, dy - \int_\Omega u f \circ \Phi_t J\Phi_t \, dy.$$

Now, by Remark 3.1, as in the proof before we find that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u D\Phi_{-t} \circ \Phi_t|^p}{p} J\Phi_t \, dy = \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^p + t(|\nabla u|^p \operatorname{div} V - p|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla u DV)}{p} \, dy + o(t).$$

On the other hand, again by Remark 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{2} J\Phi_t \, dy &= \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{2}(1 + t \operatorname{div} V + o(t)) \, dy \\ &= \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{2} \, dy + t \int_\Omega \operatorname{div} V \frac{|u|^2}{2} \, dy + o(t).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, setting

$$R(u) := \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{p} \operatorname{div} V - |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla u DV + \operatorname{div} V \frac{|u|^2}{2} \, dy,$$

we can conclude that

$$s(t) \leq \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{p} \, dy + \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^2}{2} \, dy + tR(u) + o(t) - \int_\Omega u(f \circ \Phi_t)(1 + t \operatorname{div} V + o(t)) \, dy.$$

Recall that

$$s(0) = \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{p} \, dy + \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{2} \, dy - \int_\Omega u f \, dy.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{s(t) - s(0)}{t} \leq R(u) + \frac{o(t)}{t} - \int_\Omega u(f \circ \Phi_t) \operatorname{div} V \, dy - \int_\Omega u \frac{(f \circ \Phi_t) - f}{t} \, dy.$$

Taking the limit $t \to 0^+$, we get

$$\limsup_{t \to 0+} \frac{s(t) - s(0)}{t} \leq R(u) - \int_\Omega u f \operatorname{div} V \, dy - \int_\Omega u \nabla f \cdot V \, dy,$$

where we have used the fact that $\Phi_0 = id$ and $\dot{\Phi}_t = V \circ \Phi_t$.

Let us consider now $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $t_n \to 0^+$ and

$$\liminf_{t \to 0^+} \frac{s(t) - s(0)}{t} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{s(t_n) - s(0)}{t_n}.$$

Let $u_n := u_{t_n} \in X_{t_n}$ be the extremal associated to $s(t_n)$. By Remark 3.4,

$$s(t_n) = \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_n D\Phi_{-t_n} \circ \Phi_{t_n}|^p}{p} J\Phi_{t_n} \, dy + \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^2}{2} J\Phi_{t_n} \, dy - \int_\Omega u_{t_n} f \circ \Phi_{t_n} J\Phi_{t_n} \, dy$$

Arguing as in the previous case, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{s(t_n) - s(0)}{t_n} \geq \\ &\geq \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla u_n|^p}{p} \operatorname{div} V - |\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla u_n DV + \operatorname{div} V \frac{|u_n|^2}{2} \, dy \\ &+ \frac{o(t_n)}{t_n} - \int_\Omega u_n(f \circ \Phi_{t_n}) \operatorname{div} V \, dy - \int_\Omega u_n \frac{(f \circ \Phi_{t_n}) - f}{t_n} \, dy.\end{aligned}$$

Taking limit,

$$\liminf_{t\to 0^+}\frac{s(t)-s(0)}{t}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{s(t_n)-s(0)}{t_n}\geq$$

$$\geq\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Omega\frac{|\nabla u_n|^p}{p}\operatorname{div}V-|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n\cdot\nabla u_n DV+\operatorname{div}V\frac{|u_n|^2}{2}\,dy$$

$$+\frac{o(t_n)}{t_n}-\int_\Omega u_n(f\circ\Phi_{t_n})\operatorname{div}V\,dy-\int_\Omega u_n\frac{(f\circ\Phi_{t_n})-f}{t_n}\,dy$$

$$=\lim_{n\to\infty}R(u_n)+\frac{o(t_n)}{t_n}-\int_\Omega u_n(f\circ\Phi_{t_n})\operatorname{div}V\,dy-\int_\Omega u_n\frac{(f\circ\Phi_{t_n})-f}{t_n}\,dy$$

Since $R(u_n)\to R(u)$ when $n\to\infty$ (just observe that R is continuous with respect to the strong topology and $u_n\to u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by Theorem 3.7), we have

$$\liminf_{t\to 0^+}\frac{s(t)-s(0)}{t}\geq R(u)-\int_\Omega uf\operatorname{div}V\,dy-\int_\Omega u\nabla f\cdot V\,dy.$$

And so we can conclude that $s(t)$ is differentiable in $t=0$ and

$$\frac{ds}{dt}(0)=R(u)-\int_\Omega uf\operatorname{div}V\,dy-\int_\Omega u\nabla f\cdot V\,dy.$$

This completes the proof. □

4. Improvement of the formula.

Now we try to find a more explicit formula for $s'(0)$.

In the following study, we will need the solution u to

$$\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p(x)}u+u=f & \text{in }\Omega,\\ u=0 & \text{on }\partial\Omega,\end{cases}\tag{4.1}$$

to be $C^2_{\text{loc}}(D_1)\cap C^2_{\text{loc}}(D_2)$.

However, this is not true. As it is well known (see, for instance, [11]), u belongs to the class $C^{1,\delta}_{\text{loc}}(D_i)$ for some $0<\delta<1$, $i=1,2$.

We consider the regularized problems

$$\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}((|\nabla u^\epsilon|^2+\epsilon^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u^\epsilon)+u^\epsilon=f & \text{in }\Omega,\\ u^\epsilon=0 & \text{on }\partial\Omega,\end{cases}\tag{4.2}$$

to be C^2.

It is well known that the solution u^ϵ is of class $C^{2,\rho}_{\text{loc}}(D_i)$ for some $0<\rho<1$, $i=1,2$ (see [10]).

Then, we can perform all of our computations with the functions u^ϵ and pass to the limit as $\epsilon\to 0^+$ at the end.

We have chosen to work formally with the functions u in order to make our arguments more transparent and leave the details to the reader. For a similar approach, see [8] or [5].

Now, since

$$\operatorname{div}(|u|^2V)=2u\nabla u\cdot V+|u|^2\operatorname{div}V,$$

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^qV)=q|\nabla u|^{q-2}\nabla uD^2u\cdot V+|\nabla u|^q\operatorname{div}V$$

for any constant exponent q, we have that

$$\frac{ds}{dt}(0) = \frac{1}{p_1}\int_{D_1} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p_1} V)\,dy - \int_{D_1} |\nabla u|^{p_1-2}\nabla u D^2 u \cdot V\,dy$$
$$+\frac{1}{p_2}\int_{D_2} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p_2} V)\,dy - \int_{D_2} |\nabla u|^{p_2-2}\nabla u D^2 u \cdot V\,dy - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla u DV\,dy$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(|u|^2 V)\,dy - \int_{\Omega} u\nabla u \cdot V\,dy - \int_{\Omega} uf \operatorname{div} V\,dy - \int_{\Omega} u\nabla f \cdot V\,dy.$$

Let us define the following sets:

$$D_1^\epsilon := \{x \in D_1 \colon \operatorname{dist}(x, D_2) > \epsilon\}, \quad D_2^\epsilon := \{x \in D_2 \colon \operatorname{dist}(x, D_1) > \epsilon\},$$
$$\Gamma_1^\epsilon := \partial D_1^\epsilon \cap \Omega, \quad \Gamma_2^\epsilon := \partial D_2^\epsilon \cap \Omega.$$

By the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have that

$$\frac{ds}{dt}(0) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{p_1}\int_{D_1^\epsilon} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p_1} V)\,dy - \int_{D_1^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_1-2}\nabla u D^2 u \cdot V\,dy$$
$$+\frac{1}{p_2}\int_{D_2^\epsilon} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p_2} V)\,dy - \int_{D_2^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_2-2}\nabla u D^2 u \cdot V\,dy - \int_{D_1^\epsilon\cup D_2^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla u DV\,dy$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\int_{D_1^\epsilon\cup D_2^\epsilon} \operatorname{div}(|u|^2 V)\,dy - \int_{D_1^\epsilon\cup D_2^\epsilon} u\nabla u \cdot V\,dy - \int_{\mathcal{D}_1^\epsilon\cup D_2^\epsilon} uf \operatorname{div} V\,dy - \int_{\mathcal{D}_1^\epsilon\cup D_2^\epsilon} u\nabla f \cdot V\,dy.$$

Let us call ν_1^ϵ the exterior unit normal vector to ∂D_1^ϵ. Analogosuly, ν_2^ϵ will denote the exterior unit normal vector to ∂D_2^ϵ.

Since D_1^ϵ and D_2^ϵ are disjoint sets, we have that

$$\int_{D_1^\epsilon\cup D_2^\epsilon} \operatorname{div}(|u|^2 V)\,dy = \int_{D_1^\epsilon} \operatorname{div}(|u|^2 V)\,dy + \int_{D_2^\epsilon} \operatorname{div}(|u|^2 V)\,dy$$
$$= \int_{\partial D_1^\epsilon} |u|^2 V\cdot\nu_1^\epsilon\,dS + \int_{\partial D_2^\epsilon} |u|^2 V\cdot\nu_2^\epsilon\,dS$$
$$= \int_{\partial D_1^\epsilon\setminus\Gamma_1^\epsilon} |u|^2 V\cdot\nu_1^\epsilon\,dS + \int_{\Gamma_1^\epsilon} |u|^2 V\cdot\nu_1^\epsilon\,dS + \int_{\partial D_2^\epsilon\setminus\Gamma_2^\epsilon} |u|^2 V\cdot\nu_2^\epsilon\,dS + \int_{\Gamma_2^\epsilon} |u|^2 V\cdot\nu_2^\epsilon\,dS.$$

Since $\operatorname{spt} V \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\int_{\partial D_1^\epsilon\setminus\Gamma_1^\epsilon} |u|^2 V\cdot\nu_1^\epsilon\,dS = \int_{\partial D_1^\epsilon\setminus\Gamma_2^\epsilon} |u|^2 V\cdot\nu_2^\epsilon\,dS = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{D_1^\epsilon\cup D_2^\epsilon} \operatorname{div}(|u|^2 V)\,dy = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\Gamma_1^\epsilon} |u|^2 V\cdot\nu_1^\epsilon\,dS + \int_{\Gamma_2^\epsilon} |u|^2 V\cdot\nu_2^\epsilon\,dS$$
$$= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{\Gamma_1^\epsilon} |u|^2 V\cdot\nu_1^\epsilon\,dS - \int_{\Gamma_2^\epsilon} |u|^2 V\cdot\nu_1^\epsilon\,dS = 0.$$

And so we have that

$$\frac{ds}{dt}(0) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{p_1}\int_{\partial D_1^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_1} V\cdot\nu_1^\epsilon\,dS + \frac{1}{p_2}\int_{\partial D_2^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_2} V\cdot\nu_2^\epsilon\,dS$$
$$-\int_{D_1^\epsilon\cup D_2^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_\epsilon-2}\nabla u D^2 u\cdot V\,dy - \int_{D_1^\epsilon\cup D_2^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_\epsilon-2}\nabla u\cdot\nabla u DV\,dy$$

$$-\int_{D_1^\epsilon \cup D_2^\epsilon} u\nabla u \cdot V\, dy - \int_{D_1^\epsilon \cup D_2^\epsilon} uf \operatorname{div} V\, dy - \int_{D_1^\epsilon \cup D_2^\epsilon} u\nabla f \cdot V\, dy,$$

where p_ϵ equals p_1 in D_1^ϵ and p_2 in D_2^ϵ.

Therefore, taking into account that $\nabla u D^2 u \cdot V = \nabla u \cdot D^2 u V^T$, we have that

$$\frac{ds}{dt}(0) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{p_1} \int_{\partial D_1^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_1} V \cdot \nu_1^\epsilon\, dS + \frac{1}{p_2} \int_{\partial D_2^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_2} V \cdot \nu_2^\epsilon\, dS$$

$$- \int_{D_1^\epsilon \cup D_2^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_\epsilon - 2} \nabla u \cdot (D^2 u V^T + \nabla u DV)\, dy$$

$$- \int_{D_1^\epsilon \cup D_2^\epsilon} u\nabla u \cdot V\, dy - \int_{D_1^\epsilon \cup D_2^\epsilon} uf \operatorname{div} V\, dy - \int_{D_1^\epsilon \cup D_2^\epsilon} u\nabla f \cdot V\, dy.$$

Since u is a weak solution of our equation, we can conclude that

$$\frac{ds}{dt}(0) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{p_1} \int_{\partial D_1^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_1} V \cdot \nu_1^\epsilon\, dS + \frac{1}{p_2} \int_{\partial D_2^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_2} V \cdot \nu_2^\epsilon\, dS$$

$$- \int_{D_1^\epsilon \cup D_2^\epsilon} (f\nabla u \cdot V + uf \operatorname{div} V + u\nabla f \cdot V)\, dy,$$

Since

$$\int_{D_1^\epsilon \cup D_2^\epsilon} (f\nabla u \cdot V + uf \operatorname{div} V + u\nabla f \cdot V)\, dy = \int_{D_1^\epsilon \cup D_2^\epsilon} \operatorname{div}(ufV)\, dy$$

$$= \int_{D_1^\epsilon} \operatorname{div}(ufV)\, dy + \int_{D_2^\epsilon} \operatorname{div}(ufV)\, dy = \int_{\partial D_1^\epsilon} ufV \cdot \nu_1^\epsilon\, dS + \int_{\partial D_2^\epsilon} ufV \cdot \nu_2^\epsilon\, dS = 0$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{ds}{dt}(0) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{p_1} \int_{\partial D_1^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_1} V \cdot \nu_1^\epsilon\, dS + \frac{1}{p_2} \int_{\partial D_2^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_2} V \cdot \nu_2^\epsilon\, dS$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{p_1} \int_{\partial D_1^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_1} V \cdot \nu_1^\epsilon\, dS - \frac{1}{p_2} \int_{\partial D_2^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_2} V \cdot \nu_1^\epsilon\, dS,$$

obtaining a more explicit formula for $\frac{ds}{dt}(0)$.

In conclusion, we have proved the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be open and bounded. Let $D_1, D_2 \subset \Omega$ be such that (1.1) is satisfied and let $p = p_1\chi_{D_1} + p_2\chi_{D_2}$, where $1 < p_1 < p_2$.*

Let $V\colon \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be a Lipschitz deformation field, such that $spt(V) \subset\subset \Omega$ and let $s(t)$ be defined by (3.2). Then, the following formula for the derivative $s'(0)$ holds:

$$\frac{ds}{dt}(0) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{p_1} \int_{\partial D_1^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_1} V \cdot \nu_1^\epsilon\, dS - \frac{1}{p_2} \int_{\partial D_2^\epsilon} |\nabla u|^{p_2} V \cdot \nu_1^\epsilon\, dS,$$

where $D_i^\epsilon = \{x \in D_i\colon \operatorname{dist}(x, \Omega \setminus D_i) > \epsilon\}$.

Appendix A.

In this appendix we present a proposition that is a slight generalization of the fact that strongly lower semicontinuous convex functionals are weakly lower semicontinuous. See [1].

Though this generalization is fairly easy, we include the details in order to make the paper self contained.

Proposition A.1. *Let X be a Banach space and let $\psi_n, \psi\colon X \to [-\infty, \infty]$ be strongly lower semicontinuous convex functionals such that $\psi_n \to \psi$ uniformly on bounded sets .*

Then, if $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$ is such that $x_n \rightharpoonup x$, then

$$\psi(x) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \psi_n(x_n). \tag{A.1}$$

As a consequence we have that

$$\min_{x\in X} \psi(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf_{x\in X} \psi_n(x),$$

and if $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$ is a sequence of quasiminimums (i.e. $\psi_n(x_n) \leq \inf_{x\in X} \psi_n(x) + a_n$ with $a_n \to 0$) then any weakly accumulation point of $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a minimum of ψ.

Proof. Since $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weakly convergent, it is bounded. Therefore,

$$\psi_n(x_n) - \psi(x_n) \to 0.$$

As a consequence, since $\psi_n(x_n) = \psi_n(x_n) - \psi(x_n) + \psi(x_n)$, we can conclude that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \psi_n(x_n) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \psi(x_n) \geq \psi(x),$$

which completes the proof.

Finally, the pointwise convergence together with (A.1) gives that ψ_n Gamma-converges to ψ. So the rest of the proposition follows from the standard theory of Gamma-convergence. See, for instance, [4]. □

References

[1] Haïm Brezis. *Analyse fonctionnelle*. Collection Mathématiques Appliquées pour la Maîtrise. [Collection of Applied Mathematics for the Master's Degree]. Masson, Paris, 1983. Théorie et applications. [Theory and applications].

[2] Antonin Chambolle and Pierre-Louis Lions. Image recovery via total variation minimization and related problems. *Numer. Math.*, 76(2):167–188, 1997.

[3] Yunmei Chen, Stacey Levine, and Murali Rao. Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 66(4):1383–1406 (electronic), 2006.

[4] Gianni Dal Maso. *An introduction to Γ-convergence*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 8. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.

[5] L. M. Del Pezzo and J. Fernández Bonder. Some optimization problems for p-Laplacian type equations. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 59(3):365–381, 2009.

[6] Lars Diening, Petteri Harjulehto, Peter Hästö, and Michael Růžička. *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*, volume 2017 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[7] Xianling Fan and Dun Zhao. On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 263(2):424–446, 2001.

[8] Jorge García Melián and José Sabina de Lis. On the perturbation of eigenvalues for the p-Laplacian. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 332(10):893–898, 2001.

[9] Antoine Henrot and Michel Pierre. *Variation et optimisation de formes*, volume 48 of *Mathématiques & Applications (Berlin) [Mathematics & Applications]*. Springer, Berlin, 2005. Une analyse géométrique. [A geometric analysis].

[10] Olga A. Ladyzhenskaya and Nina N. Ural′tseva. *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*. Translated from the Russian by Scripta Technica, Inc. Translation editor: Leon Ehrenpreis. Academic Press, New York-London, 1968.

[11] Peter Tolksdorf. Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 51(1):126–150, 1984.

IMAS - CONICET and Departamento de Matemática, FCEyN - Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón I (1428) Buenos Aires, Argentina.

E-mail address, J. Fernandez Bonder: jfbonder@dm.uba.ar

URL, J. Fernandez Bonder: http://mate.dm.uba.ar/~jfbonder

E-mail address, C. Baroncini: cbaroncin@dm.uba.ar